International application No. PCT/US99/25290

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(6): G06F 13/00; H04B 1/38, 1/58  US CL: 710/104, 105, 107, 126, 129; 370/285, 464, 466; 375/220  According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
B. FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system tollowed by classification symbols)				
U.S. : Please See Extra Sheet.				
Documentation searched oner than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) USPAT, JPO, EPO, TDB				
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
X, E	US 6,016,316 A (MOURA et al.) document, especially Fig. 4, 9, 15, ar		30-41	
x	US 5,764,966 A (MOTE, JR.) 09 Junespecially abstract and Fig. 1.	ne 1998, see entire document,	26-29	
x	US 5,659,707 A (WANG et al.) document, especially col. 8, lines 29-6	~	1-25	
x	US 5,799,207 A (WANG et al.) 2 document, especially Figures 4, and 1		1-41	
X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C See patent family annex.				
<ul> <li>Special categories of cited documents:</li> <li>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered.</li> </ul>		*T* later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the appli the principle or theory underlying the	ication but cited to understand	
to be of particular relevance  "X" locument of particular		*X* locument of particular relevance; the	claumed invention cannot be	
"E" earlier document published on or after the "remational filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claumest or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other		considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone		
spec	cial reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive	step when the documen	
m ea	• •		ne ert	
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than **A** the priority date claimed				
Date of the a	actual completion of the international search	1 0 FEB 2000	rch report	
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT		Authorized officer THOMAS LEE Jugania	Cogs-	
Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Te		Telephone No. (702/ 305-9717	/	

International application No.
PCT/US99/25290

Citation of document with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
Chance of document, when indication, where appropriate, or the con	
US 5,664,223 A (BENDER et al.) 02 September 1997, see entire document, especially Figure 2.	1-41
US 5,235,595 A (O'DOWD) 10 August 1993, see entire document, especially Figures 1, 4, 12 and 13	1-41
US 5,812,534 A (DAVIS et al.) 22 September 1998, see entire document, especially Figure 15.	1-41
US 5,430,848 A (WAGGENER) 01 July 1995, see entire document, especially Figure 4.	1-41
	ļ
	document, especially Figure 2.  US 5,235,595 A (O'DOWD) 10 August 1993, see entire document, especially Figures 1, 4, 12 and 13  US 5,812,534 A (DAVIS et al.) 22 September 1998, see entire document, especially Figure 15.  US 5,430,848 A (WAGGENER) 21 July 1995, see entire

International application No. PCT/US99/25290

Box 1 Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)			
This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:			
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:			
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:			
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).			
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)			
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:			
Please See Extra Sheet.			
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.			
2. X As all searchable claim could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.			
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely pard by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which tees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:			
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:			
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.			

International application No. PCT/US99/25290

B. FIELDS SEARCHED
Minimum documentation searched
Classification System: U.S.

710/52, 104, 105, 107, 110, 123, 126, 128, 129; 711/100, 145, 146; 713/400, 502; 370/260, 285, 351, 364, 464, 466, 474; 375/220, 359; 395/559

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13 1. In order for all inventions to be searched, the appropriate additional search fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s)1-25, drawn to an interface apparatus for remote communication between two stations or terminals, classified in US Class 375, subclass 200 and in International Patent Class (IPC) [6] H04B 1/38.

Group II. claim(s) 26-29, drawn to system configuring for intrasystem bus connection, classified in US Class 710, subclass 104 and in IPC[6] G06F 13/00.

Group III, claim(s) 30-41, drawn to method of transmit/receive interaction control via bridges, classified in US Class 370, subclass 285 and in IPC[6] H04B 1/58.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as an interface apparatus for remote-distance communication; invention II has separate utility such as an intrasystem configuration having a split data bus; invention III has separate utility such as transmitting/receiving packet data.

Because these invention are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, a finding of lack of unity on these inventions for search purposes as indicated is proper